

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,831	09/29/2000	Stephane Maes	24530.00300	5226
7590 01/16/2004			EXAM	NER
John W Carpenter			CUMMING, V	VILLIAM D
Crosby Heafey Roach & May			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 7936 San Francisco, CA 94120-7936			2683	a
ŕ			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004	· 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\ <i>\</i>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/677,831	MAES, TWERDAHL, VIALLE, & ROBERTSON			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
The ₩AILING DATE of this communication app	WILLIAM D CUMMING	2683			
Period for Reply	bears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 C	October 2003.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-12,14-18 and 20-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-12,14-18 and 20-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received in priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) is sentence of the specification or povisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on October 10, 2003. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 15-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification fails to provide an enabling disclosure for one or more instructions since these sequences and instructions are not disclose and is known only to the inventors. In computer applications, it is not unusual for the claimed invention to involve two areas of prior art or more than one technology. e.g., an appropriately programmed computer and an area of application of said computer. White Consol. Indus., 214 USPQ at 821. In regard to the "skilled in the art" standard, in cases involving both the art of computer programming, and another technology, the examiner must recognize that the knowledge of persons

Page 3

skilled in both technologies is the appropriate criteria for determining sufficiency. See *In re Naquin*, 398 F.2d 863, 158 USPQ 317 (CCPA 1968); *In re Brown*, 477 F.2d 946, 177 USPQ 691 (CCPA 1973); and *White Consol. Indus. v. Vega Servo-Control, Inc.*, 214 USPQ 796, 822 (S.D.Mich. 1982), *aff'd on related grounds*, 713 F.2d 788, 218 USPQ 961 (Fed. Cir. 1983). In a typical computer application, system components are often represented in a "*block diagram*" format, i.e., a group of hollow rectangles representing the elements of the system, functionally labeled, and interconnected by lines. Such block diagram computer cases may be categorized into (A) systems which include but are more comprehensive than a computer and (B) systems wherein the block elements are totally within the confines of a computer.

The first category of such block diagram cases involves systems which include a computer as well as other system hardware and/or software components. In order to meet his or her burden of establishing a reasonable basis for questioning the adequacy of such disclosure, the examiner should initiate a factual analysis of the system by focusing on each of the individual block element components. More specifically, such an inquiry should focus on the diverse functions attributed to each block element as well as the teachings in the specification as to how such a component could be implemented. Based on such an analysis, the examiner has reasonably contend that more than routine experimentation would be required by one of ordinary skill in the art to implement such a component or components, that component or components is specifically

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

be challenged by the examiner as part of this 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection. Additionally, the examiner has determine whether certain of the hardware or software components depicted as block elements are themselves complex assemblages which have widely differing characteristics and which must be precisely coordinated with other complex assemblages. Under such circumstances, a reasonable basis does exist for challenging such a functional block diagram form of disclosure. See In re Ghiron, 442 F.2d 985, 169 USPQ 723 (CCPA 1971) and In re Brown, supra. Moreover, even if the applicants has cited prior art patents or publications to demonstrate that particular block diagram hardware or software components are old, it should not always be considered as self-evident how such components are to be interconnected to function in a disclosed complex manner. See In re Scarbrough, 500 F.2d 560, 566, 182 USPQ 298, 301 (CCPA 1974) and In re Forman, 463 F.2d 1125, 1129, 175 USPQ 12, 16 (CCPA 1972). Furthermore, in complex systems including a digital computer, a microprocessor, or a complex control unit as one of many block diagram elements, timing between various system elements may be of the essence and without a timing chart relating the timed sequences for each element, an unreasonable amount of work may be required to come up with the detailed relationships an applicant alleges that he or she has solved. See In re-Scarbrough, 500 F.2d at 566, 182 USPQ at 302. For example, in a block diagram disclosure of a complex claimed system which includes a microprocessor and other system components controlled by the microprocessor,

Page 5

a mere reference to a prior art, commercially available microprocessor, without any description of the precise operations to be performed by the microprocessor, fails to disclose how such a microprocessor would be properly programmed to either perform any required calculations or to coordinate the other system components in the proper timed sequence to perform the functions disclosed and claimed. If, in such a system, a particular program is disclosed, such a program should be carefully reviewed to ensure that its scope is commensurate with the scope of the functions attributed to such a program in the claims. See *In re Brown*, 477 F.2d at 951, 177 USPQ at 695. Since, the disclosure fails to disclose any program and more than routine experimentation would be required of one skilled in the art to generate such a program, the examiner clearly has a reasonable basis for challenging the sufficiency of such a disclosure.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 15-18, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 15 lack antecedent basis for the term "the display device."

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM Final Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

į

Final Rejection

9. Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-18, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Will** in view of **Windsor, et al**.

Will clearly discloses a method of managing a phone of a personal digital assistant (Figures 12a,b) comprising retrieving a list (figure 8a) from a stored memory location (figure 2, #11 & 12) and displaying at least a portion of the list on a screen (figure 8b & 8d).

Regarding claims 13 and 14, note #16, 15.

Will disclose all subject matter except for the list record being a call list record, including the claimed call details.

The examiner took OFFICIAL NOTICE in the Office action dated May 7, 2003 that a the list record being a call list record, including the claimed call details is well known in the art and not invented by applicants. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the are at the time the claimed invention was made to incorporate the well known use of a list record being a call list record, including the claimed call details in the method and phone device Will in order for a user to review calls he made and calls received. If applicants do not seasonably traverse the well known statement during examination, then the object of the well known statement is taken to be admitted prior art. *In re Chevenard*, 139 F.2d 71, 60 USPQ 239 (CCPA 1943). A seasonable challenge constitutes a demand for evidence made as soon as practicable during prosecution. Thus, applicants are charged with rebutting the well known statement in the next reply after the Office action in which the well known statement was made.

Final Rejection

Wills does not disclose a tap recognizer and wherein the display device is configured to select a tapped list record and to initiate a call, in a method of managing a phone device, for the purpose of providing identification of both incoming and outgoing calls.

Windsor, et all teaches the use of a tap recognizer (figure 1, #22) and wherein the display device (and wherein the display device (#34) selected a tapped list (figures 7A-7E) record and to initiate a call. Hence, it would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to incorporate the use of a tap recognizer and wherein the display device is configured to select a tapped list record and to initiate a call, as taught by Windsor, et all for the purpose of providing identification of both incoming and outgoing calls in the method of managing a phone device of a personal digital assistant of Wills in order store or retrieve information through incoming and outgoing telephone signal.

Response to Amendment

10. AMENDMENTS NOW MUST BE SUBMITTED IN REVISED FORMAT

All amendments received by the Office on or after July 30, 2003 must be in compliance with the rules as required by the Revised Amendment Practice. This practice requires the submission of an amendment document that includes separate sections for amendments to the claims, drawings, specification and abstract, each beginning on a new sheet of paper. If an amendment is received as a preliminary amendment or as a bona fide reply under 37 CFR 1.111, that has compliant amendments to one or more separate section(s), but also includes one, or more, separate section(s) with non-compliant amendments, the Office will mail a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment requiring correction to the non-compliant section(s) within a time period set by the Office. When making corrections, applicant should just resubmit the needed corrected section(s), and correspondingly, should not resubmit the entire amendment document. For example, if the amendment included compliant amendments

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/677,831

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

to the specification and claims, and non-compliant amendments to the drawings, a notice requiring resubmission of compliant amendments to the drawings would be sent. In reply to the notice, applicant should only submit a compliant amendment to the drawings, and not the previously compliant amendments to the specification and claims. If any additional, or further, amendments to the claims are desired, the changes must be made relative to the previous compliant amendment to the claims. The Office will consider both the previous compliant amendment to the claims, and the supplemental compliant amendment to the claims, after the Office receives the compliant amendment to the drawings.

An amendment submitted on or after July 30, 2003 as part of a reply after the close of prosecution (e.g., as a reply under 37 CFR 1.116) that is not compliant with the Revised Amendment Practice will not act to toll the outstanding time period. <u>See 37 CFR 1.135(c)</u>. A notice (e.g., an advisory action) may be mailed by the Office specifying which section(s) of the amendment was not in compliance with the Revised Amendment Practice, but no new time period will be given to provide a compliant section.

REVISED FORMAT OF AMENDMENTS

Begin on separate sheets:

Each section of an Amendment (e.g., Claim Amendments, Specification Amendments, Remarks) should begin on a separate sheet. For example, in an amendment containing a.) introductory comments, b.) amendments to the claims, c.) amendments to the specification, and d.) remarks, each of these sections should begin on a separate sheet. This will facilitate the process of separately indexing and scanning of each part of an amendment document for placement in an electronic file wrapper.

Two versions of amended part(s) no longer required:

The current requirement in 37 CFR 1.121(b) and (c) to provide two versions (a clean version and a marked up version) of each replacement paragraph, section, substitute specification or claim will be waived where an amendment is submitted in the following format:

A) Amendments to the claims:

Each amendment document that includes a change to an existing claim, or submission of a new claim, **must include a complete listing** of all claims in the application. After each claim number, the status must be indicated in a parenthetical expression, and the text of each claim under examination (with markings to show current changes) must be presented. The listing will serve to replace all prior versions of the claims in the application.

(1) The current status of all of the claims in the application, including any previously canceled or withdrawn claims, must be given. Status is indicated in a parenthetical expression following the claim number by one of the following: (original), (currently amended), (previously amended), (canceled), (withdrawn), (new), (previously added), (reinstated – formerly claim #_), (previously reinstated), (re-presented – formerly

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM Final Rejection

dependent claim #_), or (previously re-presented). The text of all pending claims under examination must be submitted each time any claim is amended. Canceled and withdrawn claims should be indicated by only the claim number and status.

- (2) All claims <u>being currently amended</u> must be presented with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version. The changes in any amended claim should be shown by strikethrough (for deleted matter) or underlining (for added matter). An accompanying clean version is not required and should not be presented. Only claims of the status "currently amended" will include markings.
- (3) The text of pending claims <u>not being amended</u> must be presented in clean version, i.e., without any markings. Any claim presented in clean version will constitute an assertion that it has not been changed relative to the immediate prior version.
- (4) A claim may be canceled by merely providing an instruction to cancel. Listing a claim as canceled will constitute an instruction to cancel. Any claims added by amendment must be indicated as (new) and shall not be underlined.
- (5) All of the claims in each amendment paper must be presented in ascending numerical order. Consecutive canceled or withdrawn claims may be aggregated into one statement (e.g. Claims 1 5 (canceled)).

Example of listing of claims:

Claims 1-5 (canceled)

Claim 6 (withdrawn)

Claim 7 (previously amended): A bucket with a handle.

Claim 8 (currently amended): A bucket with a green blue handle.

Claim 9 (withdrawn)

Claim 10 (original): The bucket of claim 8 with a wooden handle.

Claim 11 (canceled)

Claim 12 (new): A bucket with plastic sides and bottom.

Claim 13 (previously added): A bucket having a circumferential upper lip.

Claim 14 (re-presented – formerly claim 11): A black bucket with a wooden handle.

B) Amendments to the specification:

Amendments to the specification may be made by presenting a replacement paragraph, section or substitute specification marked up to show changes made relative to the immediate prior version. An accompanying clean version is not required and should not be presented.

C) Amendments to drawing figures:

Drawing changes may be made by presenting replacement figures which incorporate the proposed changes and which comply with § 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented in the remarks section of the amendment. If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not approved by the examiner, applicant will be informed in the next Office action. Any replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of

Page 11

the sheet, even though only one figure may be amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended."

Any questions regarding the submission of amendments pursuant to the revised practice set forth in this flyer should be directed to the following legal advisors in the Office of Patent Legal Administration (OPLA): Elizabeth Dougherty (Elizabeth Dougherty@uspto.gov), Gena Jones (Eugenia.Jones@uspto.gov) or Joe Narcavage (Joseph.Narcavage@uspto.gov). For information on the waiver or legal aspects of the prototype, please contact Jay Lucas (Jay.Lucas@uspto.gov), Senior Legal Advisor (PCTLA) or Rob Clarke (Robert.Clarke@uspto.gov), Senior Legal Advisor (OPLA). Alternatively, further information may be obtained by calling OPLA at (703) 305-1616.

Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-18, and 20-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 12. Applicants' arguments filed October 10, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Arguments of counsel alone cannot take the place of evidence in the record once an examiner has advanced a reasonable basis for questioning the disclosure. See *In re Budnick*, 537 F.2d at 538, 190 USPQ at 424; *In re Schulze*, 346 F.2d 600, 145 USPQ 716 (CCPA 1965); *In re Cole*, 326 F.2d 769, 140 USPQ 230 (CCPA 1964). For example, in a case where the record consisted substantially of arguments and opinions of applicant's attorney, the court indicated that factual affidavits could have provided important evidence on the issue of enablement. See *In re Knowlton*, 500 F.2d at 572, 183 USPQ at 37; *In re Wiseman*, 596 F.2d 1019, 201 USPQ 658 (CCPA 1979).

Application/Control Number: 09/677,831 Page 12

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM Final Rejection

Conclusion

13. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

14. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

15. Patent Customers Advised to FAX Communications to USPTO

Facsimile transmissions of communications to the Office can significantly expedite processing of the communication within the Office. For example, when an assignment document is faxed to the Office, the average cycle time to process, record, and send a notice of recordation is one calendar day, whereas when the document is mailed to the Office, the average cycle time is 113 calendar days. See Status of Office of Public Records Services, 1269 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 19,20 (April 1, 2003).

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

With many of the facsimile numbers provided below (i.e., those numbers below with 746 or 872 after the area code in the facsimile number), a return receipt will be automatically generated that will include the number of pages received as well as the date and time the facsimile was received. Additionally, the return receipt will include an image of the received cover page. The return receipt will be automatically sent to the sender's facsimile machine so long as the sender's facsimile number is properly programmed in the sending facsimile machine, and the sender's facsimile machine is available to receive a fax immediately following the original transmission. (Note that with area code changes, or with movement of a facsimile machine from one number to another, the facsimile machine's number may need to be reprogrammed.) Even if a return receipt is not desired, at least the first page of the facsimile transmission must clearly indicate the date and time the transmission is sent, an identification of the business. other entity, or individual sending the transmission, and the telephone number of the sending machine or of such business, other entity, or individual. See 47 U.S.C. 227(d)(1)(B) and 47 CFR 68.318(d). A return receipt will be attempted to be sent several times. but if a busy signal is repeatedly received, a return receipt will not be received by the sender. Applicants are advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures when submitting a reply to an Office action by facsimile (see 37 CFR 1.6 and 1.8). Applicants are also advised to retain the return receipt in the event that the Office has no record of the facsimile submission.

PTO Form 2038 should be used when authorizing payment by credit card; this form is maintained separate from the file to ensure confidentiality. Note that current processing of assignment documents now permits use of of a credit card, but that PTO form 2038 should be used to prevent credit card information from being included in public records. Form PTO-2038 may be downloaded at http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/2038.pdf from the USPTO website.

Faxes to the Office of Initial Patent Examination

Responses to notices from the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) and requests for corrected filing receipts may be filed by facsimile. New applications (other than continued prosecution applications (CPAs) under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) may not be filed by facsimile. The following is a list of Official Facsimile Numbers for the OIPE:

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

Fax number for corrected Filing Receipt Requests: 703-746-9195

Fax number for Response to Notice to File

Missing Parts (drawings may not be submitted by fax): 703-746-4060

Telephone number for Customer Service: 703-308-1202

Faxes to the Technology Centers

In addition, communications may be faxed to the Technology Centers. The following is a list of Official Facsimile Numbers for the Technology Centers:

TC1600:

Before Final: 703-872-9306 After Final: 703-872-9307

Customer Service: 703-872-9305 Telephone number for customer

service: (703) 308-0198

TC1700:

Before Final: 703-872-9310 After Final: 703-872-9311

Customer Service: 703-872-9309 Telephone number for customer

service: (703)306-5665

TC2100:

Before Final: 703-746-7239 After Final: 703-746-7238

Customer Service: 703-746-7240 Telephone number for customer

service: (703)306-5631

TC2600:

Before Final: 703-872-9314 After Final: 703-872-9315

Customer Service: 703-872-9313 Telephone number for customer

service: (703)306-0377

TC2800:

Before Final: 703-872-9318 After Final: 703-872-9319

Customer Service: 703-872-9317 Telephone number for customer

service: (703)306-3329

TC2900:

Before Final: 703-872-9322 After Final: 703-872-9323

Customer Service: 703-872-9321 Telephone number for customer

service: (703)306-5648

TC3600:

Before Final: 703-872-9326 After Final: 703-872-9327

Customer Service: 703-872-9325 Telephone number for customer

service: (703)306-5771

TC3700:

Before Final: 703-872-9302 After Final: 703-872-9303

Customer Service: 703-872-9301 Telephone number for customer

service: (703)306-5648

Application/Control Number: 09/677,831 Page 15

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM Final Rejection

Faxes to the Office of Patent Publication

Patent applicants are also reminded that 37 CFR 1.6(d) permits payment of an issue fee and a publication fee (if required) by facsimile transmission. When drawings are submitted with payment of an issue fee, they may be submitted by facsimile, although applicants are reminded that the facsimile process may reduce the quality of the drawings, and the Office will generally print the drawings received.

The applicable telephone numbers for payment of the issue and/or publication fee(s) by facsimile transmission are as follows:

Fax number for Issue Fee (and any Publication Fee) Payments: (703) 746-4000

Telephone number to check on receipt of payment (with Office of Patent Publication): (703) 305-8283

The Office of Patent Publication also handles many matters related to publication of patent applications. For example, express abandonments under 37 CFR 1.138(c) are handled by the Pre-Grant Publication Division of the Office of Patent Publication. In addition, requests to rescind a nonpublication request and notices of foreign filing should be directed to the Pre-Grant Publication Divison. Questions regarding publication of patent applications (or rescissions of nonpublication requests) may also be directed by e-mail to pgpub@uspto.gov.

Fax number for PGPUB correspondence: (703) 305-8568

Telephone number for the Pre-Grant Publication Division: (703) 605-4283

Faxes of Assignment Documents

Facsimile transmission to record an assignment or other documents affecting title is also accepted. This process allows customers to submit their documents directly into the automated Patent and Trademark Assignment System and receive the resulting recordation notice at their fax machine. Credit card payments to record assignment documents are now accepted, but use of the credit card form (PTO Form-2038) is required for the credit card information to separated from the assignment records. Only documents with an identified patent application or patent number, a single cover sheet to record a single type of transaction, and the fee paid by a USPTO deposit account or credit card

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

may be submitted via facsimile. Please refer to our Web Site, at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/ac/ido/opr/ptasfax.pdf for more information regarding the submission of assignment documents via facsimile.

Fax number for Automated Patent

and Trademark Assignment system:

703-306-5995

Telephone number for Assignment

Division for assistance:

703-308-9723

Faxes to the Office of Petitions

Applicable correspondence may be submitted to the Office of Petitions via facsimile. For questions concerning Petitions, contact the Office of Petitions at the numbers below:

Fax number for the Office of Petitions: 703-308-6916

Telephone number for customer service and inquiries: 703-305-9282

16. If applicants wish to request for an interview, an "Applicant Initiated Interview Request" form (PTOL-413A) should be submitted to the examiner prior to the interview in order to permit the examiner to prepare in advance for the interview and to focus on the issues to be discussed. This form should identify the participants of the interview, the proposed date of the interview, whether the interview will be personal, telephonic, or video conference, and should include a brief description of the issues to be discussed. A copy of the completed "Applicant Initiated Interview Request" form should be attached to the Interview Summary form, PTOL-413 at the completion of the interview and a copy should be given to applicant or applicant's representative.

Page 17

Application/Control Number: 09/677,831

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

17. If applicants request an interview after this final rejection, prior to the interview, the intended purpose and content of the interview should be presented briefly, in writing. Such an interview may be granted if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search will be denied.

18. SPECIAL MAIL STOPS FOR PATENT MAIL

Effective May 1, 2003, the United States Patent and Trademark Office has a new address for some mail. See Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003). The mail stop should generally appear as the first line in the address, but the term "Mail Stop" may be abbreviated "MS". Special mail stop designations should be used to allow forwarding of particular types of mail to the appropriate areas as quickly as possible. Such mail may be forwarded to the appropriate area without being opened. Only the specified type of document should be placed in an envelope addressed to one of these special mail stops. If any documents other than the specified type identified for each special mail stop are addressed to that mail stop, they will be significantly delayed in reaching the appropriate area for which they are intended.

Some correspondence may only be submitted via the Office's electronic filing system (EFS). Instructions on how to file and what can be filed via EFS are posted on the Office's Internet Web site http://www.uspto.gov under the Electronic Business Center section.

Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

Mail Stop **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Please address mail to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolater, etc.) as follows:

> U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 2011 South Clark Place Customer Window, Mail Stop Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, VA 22202

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

Mail Stop Designations	Explanation	
Mail Stop 12	Contributions to the Examiner Education Program.	
Mail Stop 313(c)	Petitions under 37 CFR 1.313(c) to withdraw a patent application from issue after payment of the issue fee and any papers associated with the petition, including papers necessary for a continuing application or a request for continued examination (RCE).	
Mail Stop AF	Amendments and other responses after final rejection, other than an appeal brief.	
Mail Stop Appeal Brief- Patents	For appeal briefs under 37 CFR 1.192 or reply briefs under 37 CFR 1.193(a).	
Mail Stop Application Number	For fee and petitions under 37 CFR 1.182 to obtain Mail Stop Designation received and/or application number for patent applications prior to the Office's standard notification (return post card or the official "Filing Receipt," "Notice to File Missing Parts," or "Notice of Incomplete Application").	
Mail Stop Comments- Patents	Public comments regarding patent related regulations and procedures.	
Mail Stop Conversion	Requests under 37 CFR 1.53(c)(2) to convert a nonprovisional application to a provisional application and requests under 37 CFR 1.53(c)(3) to convert a provisional application to a nonprovisional application.	
Mail Stop CPA	Requests for Continued Prosecution Applications (CPA's) under 37 CFR 1.53(d).	
Mail Stop DD	Disclosure Documents or materials related to the Disclosure Document Program.	
Mail Stop Design	The filing of all design patent applications that do not request expedited examination under 37 CFR 1.55.	
Mail Stop EBC	Mail for the Electronic Business Center	
Mail Stop ILS	Correspondence relating to international patent classification, exchanges and standards.	
Mail Stop Expedited Design	Only to be used for the initial filing of design applications accompanied by a request for expedited examination under 37 CFR 1.155. (Design applicants seeking expedited examination may alternatively file a design application and corresponding	

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

	request under 37 CFR 1.155 by hand-delivering the application papers and request directly to the Design Group Director's office.)
Mail Stop Issue Fee	All communications following the receipt of a PTOL-85, "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due," and prior to the issuance of a patent should be addressed to Mail Stop Issue Fee, unless advised to the contrary. Issue fee payments can also be faxed to (703)746-4000. Assignments are the exception. Assignments (with cover sheets) should be faxed to (703)306-5995 or submitted in a separate envelope and be sent to Mail Stop Assignment Recordation Services, Director - US Patent and Trademark Office as shown below.
Mail Stop Missing Parts	Reply to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application and associated papers and fees. Note that such correspondence may also be faxed to: 703-746-4060.
Mail Stop MPEP	Submissions concerning the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure.
Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment	Non-fee amendments to patent applications. (Use Mail Stop AF for replies after final rejection.)
Mail Stop Patent Application	New patent applications and associated papers and fees.
Mail Stop Patent Ext.	Applications for patent term extension and any communications relating thereto.
Mail Stop Petition	Petitions to be decided by the Office of Petitions including petitions to revive and petitions to accept late payment of issue fees or maintenance fees.
Mail Stop PGPUB	Correspondence regarding publication of patent applications not otherwise provided, including requests for early publication made after filing, rescission of non-publication request, corrected patent application publication, refund of publication fee.
Mail Stop PGPUB - ABD	Petitions under 37 CFR 1.138 to expressly abandon an application to avoid publication of the application.
Mail Stop PGPUB Drawings	Drawings to be included in a patent application publication (replacement drawings for drawings included with a patent
	application on filing).
Mail Stop PCT	Mail related to applications filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
Mail Stop PCT Mail Stop Provisional Patent Application	Mail related to applications filed under the Patent Cooperation
Mail Stop Provisional Patent	Mail related to applications filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty. The filing of all provisional patent applications and any
Mail Stop Provisional Patent Application	Mail related to applications filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty. The filing of all provisional patent applications and any communications relating thereto.

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

Mail Stop Inter Partes Reexam Requests for Inter Partes Reexamination for original request

papers and for all subsequent correspondence other than correspondence to the Office of the Solicitor (see 37 CFR §§

1.1(a)(3) and 1.302(c)).

Mail Stop Reissue All new and continuing reissue application filings.

Mail Stop Sequence Submission of diskette for applications with sequence listings.

ADDRESS FOR TRADEMARK MAIL

The box designations previously listed in Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure, Section 305.01 are no longer in use. See Change of Address for Mailing Trademark Correspondence, which is posted on the USPTO Internet web site at: http://www.uspto.gov/web/trademarks/tmmailingaddressnotice.htm. Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

Commissioner for Trademarks 2900 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202-3514

SPECIAL MAIL STOPS APPLICABLE TO BOTH PATENT AND TRADEMARK MAIL

The following special mail stop designations are applicable to both patent and trademark related mail, and the recommendations for "Special Mail Stops for Patent Mail" (above) should be followed for the types of mail listed below.

Please address mail to be directed to a mail stop identified below to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows (unless otherwise instructed):

Mail Stop Designations	Explanation
Mail Stop 3	Mail for the Office of Personnel from NFC
Mail Stop 6	Mail for the Office of Procurement.
Mail Stop 8	All papers for the Office of the Solicitor except communications relating to pending litigation and disciplinary proceedings; papers relating to pending litigation in court cases shall be mailed only to Office of the Solicitor, PO Box 15667, Arlington, VA 22215 and papers related to pending disciplinary proceedings before the Administrative Law Judge or the Director shall be mailed only to the Office of the Solicitor, PO Box 16116, Arlington, VA 22215.

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM Final Rejection

Mail Stop 11 Mail for the Electronic Ordering Service (EOS).

Mail Stop 13 Mail for the Employee and Labor Relations Division.

Mail Stop 16 Mail related to refund requests.

Mail Stop 17 Invoices directed to the Office of Finance.

Mail Stop 24 Mail for the Inventor's Assistance Program, including complaints

about Invention Promoters.

Mail Stop 171 Vacancy Announcement Applications.

Mail Stop Assignment All assignment documents, security interests, and other

Recordation Services documents to be recorded in the Assignment records. Note that

documents with cover sheets faxed to (703)306-5995 are processed much more quickly than those submitted by mail.

Page 21

Mail Stop Congressional

Relations

Mail for the Office of Congressional Relations.

Mail Stop Document Services All requests for certified or uncertified copies of patent or

trademark documents.

Mail Stop EEO Mail for the Office of Civil Rights.

Mail Stop Enforcement Mail for the Office of Enforcement.

Mail Stop Interference Communications relating to interferences and applications and

patents involved in interference.

Mail Stop International Relations Mail for the Office of International Relations.

Mail Stop M Correspondence Correspondence related to maintenance fees other than

payments of maintenance fees in patents. See below for the

address for maintenance fee payments.

Mail Stop OED Mail for the Office of Enrollment and Discipline.

Maintenance Fee Payments

Payments of maintenance fees in patents not submitted electronically over the Internet at www.uspto.gov should be mailed to:

United States Patent and Trademark

Office

PO Box 371611

Pittsburgh, PA 15250-1611

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

Deposit Account Replenishments

To send payment to replenish deposit accounts, send the payments to:

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office PO Box 70541 Chicago, IL 60673

Questions regarding the information provided on this page should be directed to: Darnell Jayne, Legal Advisor in the Office of Patent Legal Administration, by telephone at (703) 308-6906 or by e-mail addressed to Darnell.Jayne@USPTO.gov.

19. Notice of Office Plan to Cease Supplying Copies of Cited U.S. Patent References With Office Actions, and Pilot to Evaluate The Alternative of Providing Electronic Access

to Such U.S. Patent References

Summary

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office or USPTO) plans in the near future to: (1) cease mailing copies of U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications (US patent references) with Office actions except for citations made during the international stage of an international application under the Patent Cooperation Treaty and those made during reexamination proceedings; and (2) provide electronic access to, with convenient downloading capability of, the US patent references cited in an Office action via the Office's private Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system which has a new feature called "E-Patent Reference." Before ceasing to provide copies of U.S. patent references with Office actions, the Office shall test the feasibility of the E-Patent Reference feature by conducting a two-month pilot project starting with Office actions mailed after December 1, 2003. The Office shall evaluate the pilot project and publish the results in a notice which will be posted on the Office's web site (www.USPTO.gov) and in the Patent Official Gazette (O.G.). In order to use the new E-Patent Reference feature during the pilot period, or when the Office ceases to send copies of U.S. patent references with Office actions, the applicant must: (1) obtain a digital certificate from the Office: (2) obtain a customer number from the Office, and (3) properly associate applications with the customer number. The pilot project does not involve or affect the current Office practice of supplying paper copies of foreign patent documents and non-patent literature with Office actions. Paper copies of references will continue to be provided by the USPTO for mercarbon and controls and attended and an expected for the Fronting Control and extended

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

applications during the international stage and for reexamination proceedings.

Description of Pilot Project to Provide Electronic Access to Cited U.S. Patent References

On December 1, 2003, the Office will make available a new feature, E-Patent Reference, in the Office's private PAIR system, to allow more convenient downloading of U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications. The new feature will allow an authorized user of private PAIR to download some or all of the U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications cited by an examiner on form PTO-892 in Office actions, as well as U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications submitted by applicants on form PTO/SB08 (1449) as part of an IDS. The retrieval of some or all of the documents may be performed in one downloading step with the documents encoded as Adobe Portable Document format (.pdf) files, which is an improvement over the current page-by-page retrieval capability from other USPTO systems.

Steps to Use the New E-Patent Reference Feature During the Pilot Project and Thereafter

Access to private PAIR is required to utilize E-Patent Reference. If you don't already have access to private PAIR, the Office urges practitioners, and applicants not represented by a practitioner, to take advantage of the transition period to obtain a no-cost USPTO Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) digital certificate, obtain a USPTO customer number, associate all of their pending and new application filings with their customer number, install no-cost software (supplied by the Office) required to access private PAIR and E-Patent Reference feature, and make appropriate arrangements for Internet access. The full instructions for obtaining a PKI digital certificate are available at the Office's Electronic Business Center (EBC) web page at: http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/downloads.html. Note that a notarized signature will be required to obtain a digital certificate.

To get a Customer Number, download and complete the Customer Number Request form, PTO-SB125, at:

http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/sb0125.pdf. The completed form can then be transmitted by facsimile to the Electronic Business Center at (703) 308-2840, or mailed to the address on the form. If you are a registered attorney or patent agent, then your registration number must be associated with your customer number. This is accomplished by adding your registration number

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

customer number with an application is described at the EBC web page at: http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/registration_pair.html.

The E-Patent Reference feature will be accessed using a new button on the private PAIR screen. Ordinarily all of the cited U.S. patent and U.S. patent application publication references will be available over the Internet using the Office's new E-Patent Reference feature. The size of the references to be downloaded will be displayed by E-Patent Reference so the download time can be estimated. Applicants and registered practitioners can select to download all of the references or any combination of cited references. Selected references will be downloaded as complete documents as Adobe Portable Document Format (.pdf) files. For a limited period of time, the USPTO will include a copy of this notice with Office actions to encourage applicants to use this new feature and, if needed, to take the steps outlined above in order to be able to utilize this new feature during the pilot and thereafter.

During the two-month pilot, the Office will evaluate the stability and capacity of the E-Patent Reference feature to reliably provide electronic access to cited U.S. patent and U.S. patent application publication references. While copies of U.S. patent and U.S. patent application publication references cited by examiners will continue to be mailed with Office actions during the pilot project, applicants are encouraged to use the private PAIR and the E-Patent Reference feature to electronically access and download cited U.S. patent and U.S. patent application publication references so the Office will be able to objectively evaluate its performance. The public is encouraged to submit comments to the Office on the usability and performance of the E-Patent Reference feature during the pilot. Further, during the pilot period registered practitioners, and applicants not represented by a practitioner, are encouraged to experiment with the feature, develop a proficiency in using the feature, and establish new internal processes for using the new access to the cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications to prepare for the anticipated cessation of the current Office practice of supplying copies of such cited references. The Office plans to continue to provide access to the E-Patent Reference feature during its evaluation of the pilot.

Comments

Comments concerning the E-Patent Reference feature should be in writing and directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at the USPTO by electronic mail at eReference@uspto.gov or by facsimile to (703) 308-2840. Comments will be posted and made available for public inspection.

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

project, comments should be submitted in writing by January 15, 2004.

Comments with respect to specific applications should be sent to the Technology Centers' customer service centers. Comments concerning digital certificates, customer numbers, and associating customer numbers with applications should be sent to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at the USPTO by facsimile at (703) 308-2840 or by e-mail at EBC@uspto.gov.

Implementation after Pilot

After the pilot, its evaluation, and publication of a subsequent notice as indicated above, the Office expects to implement its plan to cease mailing paper copies of U.S. patent references cited during examination of non provisional applications on or after February 2, 2004; although copies of cited foreign patent documents, as well as non-patent literature, will still be mailed to the applicant until such time as substantially all applications have been scanned into IFW.

For Further Information Contact

Technical information on the operation of the IFW system can be found on the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/ifw/index.html. Comments concerning the E-Patent Reference feature and questions concerning the operation of the PAIR system should be directed to the EBC at the USPTO at (866) 217-9197. The EBC may also be contacted by facsimile at (703) 308-2840 or by e-mail at EBC@uspto.gov.

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

20. The American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) enacted provisions for the continued examination of a utility or plant application at the request of the applicant (request for continued examination or RCE practice). Since continued prosecution application (CPA)practice is largely redundant in view of RCE practice, the Office is eliminating CPA practice as to utility and plant applications. An applicant for a utility or plant patent may also continue to effectively obtain further examination of the application by filing a continuing application. Since RCE practice does not apply to design applications, CPA practice will remain in place for design applications.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 14, 2003

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eugenia A. Jones, by telephone at (703) 306 –5586, or by mail addressed to: Box Comments — Patents, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, or by facsimile to (703)872 –9404, marked to the attention of Eugenia A. Jones.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIAM D CUMMING whose telephone number is 703-305-4394. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday 10:30am to 8:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2683 1/3/2004 12:40 AM

Final Rejection

22. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.



UNITED STATES
PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE

William Cumming Primary Patent Examiner (703) 305-4394 (703) 746-6075 Fax william.cumming@uspto.gov WILLIAM CUMMING

PRHMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2600